# **Reciprocating Piston Expanders for Small-Scale ORC Systems**

Christos N. Markides, Ilaria Guarracino, Richard Mathie Clean Energy Processes Group Department of Chemical Engineering

NB: Some information from the original presentation has been omitted for confidentiality reasons.

# **Background and Motivation**

- Reciprocating machines/processes:
  - Thermofluidic oscillators "two-phase Stirling" engines
  - Pumped Thermal Electricity Storage (PTES; Isentropic UK) – forward/reverse Joule cycle
- Expander maps?
- Isentropic efficiency (heat transfer + irreversibility = isentropic)?

## **Exergy Destruction in a Typical ORC System**



Mago, et al., International Journal of Energy Research 31(1), 2008

## **Exergy Destruction in a Typical ORC System**



# **Dimensionless Performance Parameters**

$$N_s = \frac{N\dot{V}^{1/2}}{(\Delta h)_s^{3/4}}$$

$$D_s = \frac{D(\Delta h)_s^{3/4}}{\dot{V}^{1/2}}$$

- Specific diameter proportional to diameter of engine (measure of machine's size)
- Specific speed proportional to rotational speed and to power output

### **Expander Performance Maps**



Badr, et al., 1984

### **Expander Performance Maps**



### **Expander Performance Maps**



For low power outputs (< 50 kW, < 10 000 rpm) positive displacement expanders more efficient than turbines

# **Expander Performance Maps**



### **Expander Performance Maps**



Balje, et al., 1962

# **Positive Displacement Expanders vs. Turbomachines**

- Higher efficiencies, lower rotational speed (hence friction, vibration, balancing), lower costs than turbines at low power outputs/smaller sizes
- No gear-box required as they can retain high efficiency at smaller operational frequencies
- Liquid phase in vapour possible
- Turbines inefficient in part load

# **Reciprocating Piston vs. Other Displacement Expanders**

- More robust, higher efficiencies than scroll expanders in kW-range
- Less sensitive to leakage  $\rightarrow$  low-cost manufacturing

	5 µm		10 µm		15 µm	
	$\eta_{ind}$	$\eta_{is}$	$\eta_{ind}$	$\eta_{is}$	$\eta_{ind}$	$\eta_{is}$
Recip. piston	0.90	0.75	0.87	0.72	0.81	0.66
Rotary piston	0.94	0.79	0.84	0.69	0.78	0.63
Scroll	0.93	0.78	0.75	0.60	0.47	0.32

Table C: Expander indicated and isentropic efficiency vs. leakage gap size

Huff and Radermacher, 2003

# Loss Mechanisms in Reciprocating Expanders

- Valve (pressure, friction) losses
- Leakage losses
- Thermal losses

# **Valve Types**



Rotary Valve



**Poppet Valve** 

## Leakage Losses

- Flow through a rotary valve is a combination of Couette, Poiseuille and Taylor flow
- Pressure loss:

$$\Delta P = \frac{1}{2}\rho U^2 \left(\frac{fl}{2s}\right), s: \text{gap/clearance}$$
$$f = \frac{48}{Re} \text{ for laminar flow}$$
$$f = \frac{0.26}{Re^{0.24}} \left[1 + \left(\frac{7}{8}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\omega R}{U}\right)^2\right]^{0.38} \text{ for turbulent flow}$$

Yamada, Bulletin of the Japanese Society Mechanical Engineers 5(18), 1962

### Leakage Losses





- Piston inside a cylinder enclosing a fixed mass of gas/vapour
- Oscillation in cylinder position:
  - 1. Fixed displacement/swept volume, OR
  - 2. Fixed applied force (pressure) variation
- As piston moves upwards: Pressure and temperature of the enclosed gas increase
- Temperature changes can drive heat transfer
- Heat transfer across finite
  temperature difference → exergy
  loss



- Previous models (Lee, Lékic) assume an isothermal inside wall boundary condition
- Consider a layer of insulation of thickness *a* on the inside cylinder wall
- Surrounding metallic cylinder effectively isothermal
- Use 1D thermal conduction model for the insulation



- Work done on gas generates an inversion of the temperature profile at the wall
- Heat can be transferred into the fluid, despite the bulk fluid temperature being higher than the wall
  - "Traditional" heat transfer coefficient inadequate
- Use a complex Nusselt number (Lee, Kornhauser and Smith, Lekic, and Kok)

#### **Thermal Losses**



Figure: Loss Factor, current model,  $\kappa_r = 1$ ,  $\rho_r = 1$ ,  $c_r = 1$ ,  $y_a = 0.5$ .

Figure: Loss Factor, K.P. Lee, isothermal cylinder,  $P_r = 2$ .



- Low Péclet numbers insulation moves the system towards the isothermal ideal
- At high Péclet numbers insulation moves the system away from the adiabatic ideal
- Loss can be up to 20% greater than isothermal gas spring

# **Experimental Setup**



Design parameters:

- Assuming solar collector as heat source
- Electric boiler as heater and evaporator
- R245fa working fluid
- Process air heater as superheater
- $T_{3,\max} = 150 \, ^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$
- $p_{3,\max} = 10 \text{ bar}$

# **Experimental Setup**



Design parameters:

- $T_4 = 17 \, ^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$
- $P_4 = P_{\text{sat}}(17 \text{ °C}) \cong 1 \text{ bar}$
- $P_{\text{out,max}} = 3 \text{ kW}$
- $\dot{m} = 0.07 \text{ kg/s}$
- Cooling medium: water at ambient conditions

### Expander



### Reversed Off-the-Shelf Two-Stage Compressor

# **Rotary Valve Design**



# **Rotary Valve Design**



# **Rotary Valve Timing**

- Cylindrical rotary valve
- Angles/position of groves determine valve timing



# **Operation**



### **Results (Pressure)**



# **Results (P-V Indicator Diagrams)**



LP cylinder loss = 10.3 J / 24 W (2.4%)

# **Conclusions**

- We are investigating the use of small-scale reciprocating piston expanders with rotary valves
- At the moment we are testing them in "gas spring" mode with R245fa at low pressure ratios
- We have measured ~4% in thermodynamic losses at low-speeds (140 rpm), due to heat transfer and other irreversibilities (e.g. leakage)
- Next steps:
  - Increase the pressure ratio and speed
  - Connect the valves
  - Estimate leakage vs. oil (selected fluids)
  - Test surface materials

# **Reciprocating Piston Expanders for Small-Scale ORC Systems**

**Christos N. Markides**, Ilaria Guarracino, Richard Mathie Clean Energy Processes Group

Department of Chemical Engineering